

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/487,354	01/18/2000	Alon Nachom	NAC99-001P	3068
759	90 02/04/2005		EXAMINER	
THOMAS M. COESTER, ESQ.			ELISCA, PIERRE E	
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			3621	
		•	DATE MAILED: 02/04/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				. 10			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
47	Office Anti-	09/487,354	7,354 NACHOM, ALON				
uh.	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Pierre E. Elisca	3621				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address				
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn e period for reply specified above, the maximum st op period for reply is specified above, the maximum st ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 11/15/2004					
		2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>21-50</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>21-50</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	tion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected to specific the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyang the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c	d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in a of the priority documents have been and Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachmer	• •	·					
2)	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/487,354

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

1. regarding the status of the claims in the instant application, the Examiner has made an updated search and found new prior art. The Examiner is obliged to apply the newly found prior art. Thus, the finality of the prior Office action has been withdrawn and a new rejection follows. The examiner regrets the delayed process of the application.

Accordingly, claims 21-50 are pending.

Claim Rejections-35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 21-50 are rejected under 3 5 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Judson (U.S.Patent No.5,572,643) in view of Conklin et al. (U.S. Pat. NO. 6,141,653).

Regarding to **claims 21**, 23, 30-33, and 35-50 Ronen substantially discloses a popup advertisements, comprising of:

providing information regarding a related subject matter from an alternate source, comprising:

obtaining a first set of electronic information to be displayed to a user from a first source on a network, wherein the first set of electronic information comprises information identifying a first transaction to be made (see abstract, lines 10-15, col 1, lines 59-67);

Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

accepting transaction data to effect the first transaction; requesting a second set of electronic information (second set of electronic or popup advertisements) see., abstract, col 1, lines 59-67, col 2, lines 54-67; to displayed to the user from the second source on the network, wherein the second set of electronic information comprises information identifying a second transaction to be made; providing data to display to the user without the user's knowledge of an origin of the second set of information visual representation of the second set of electronic information as though originating from the first source; and accepting a transaction (see ., abstract, col 1, lines 59-67, col 2, lines 13-67, col 3, lines 44-67).

Ronen does not explicitly disclose the step of routing the transaction data to a second source.

However, Conklin discloses a multivariate negotiations over a network or business transactions, wherein business transaction negotiation deals have many variable items, such as price, quantity, quality, warranty. An internet routing transaction see., fig 1A, item 04, abstract, col 1, lines 41-47, col 7, lines 30-64. It is obvious to realize that transferring information to user from autonomous source is well-known in order to keep sells autonomous. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teaching of Rosen by including the limitation detailed above as taught by Conklin because this would keep the information autonomously to client to Rosen's Internet selling system for the benefit of providing buyer what they needs and keep the seller autonomously before sells transaction takes place.

Regarding to claims 22, 24, and 29 Ronen discloses the invention of claim 1, wherein the transaction authorization comprises a user authorization to share the transaction data with the second source (see abstract, col 3, lines 44-67 or URL).

Application/Control Number: 09/487,354

Art Unit: 3621

Regarding to claims 25, 26, 27, 28, 34, Judson in view of the obviousness statement

Page 4

discloses the invention of claim 7. Judson does not discloses said system wherein a

payment means, a delivery means, and a client identifying means are at least included in

said data.

However, it is obvious to realize that many techniques have been used to encrypt file i.e for

transferring billing information from one source to another and shipping information. It would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

combine the Judson's popup advertisements with the obviousness statement by giving client

an option to pay direction to the second source by having the IAP transfer client's

pre-established billing information such as payment means, delivery means and shipping

identifying means to the ISP when the client decides to make purchase from the ISP.

Regarding to claim 9, Judson in view of the obviousness statement discloses the invention

of claim 8. Judson does not discloses said system wherein a second display component is

presented by said second source requesting at least entry of said payment means, said

delivery means, and said client identifying means, if said data is insufficiently received by

said second source.

However, it is obvious to recognize that billing information have to be satisfied before a sales

transaction can be completed. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature of presenting to client the

request of filling out billing information if such information was not completely received in

order to complete the sales transaction.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/487,354

Art Unit: 3621

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent examiner

February 1, 2005